

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. BOX 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/029.286	12/31/2001	Donna K. Hodges	BLS01311	7406
38515 7590 02/23/2007 BAMBI FAIVRE WALTERS PO BOX 3822			EXAMINER	
			LUDWIG, MATTHEW J	
CARY, NC 27519			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/029,286	HODGES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew J. Ludwig	2178					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No	ovember 2006						
·— ,	action is non-final.						
· · · ·							
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-20 is/are pending in the app	olication.	÷ .					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 6-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	<u> </u>	=yaminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Ev							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	inner. Note the attached Office	Action of form FTO-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
•		·					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/029,286

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This document is the first Office Action on the merits. This action is responsive to the following communications: The Request for Reconsideration, which was filed on 11/20/2006.
- 2. Claims 1-20 have been examined, with claims 1, 4, 6, 9, 15, and 20 being the independent claims.
- 3. Claims 1-11 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sahota et al., have been withdrawn pursuant to applicant's arguments.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 6-11, and 14-20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sahota, et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication, 2001/0056460 A1, published December 27, 2001, claiming priority to Provisional Application 60/199,686, filed April 24, 2000) [hereinafter "Sahota"].

.Regarding independent claim 1, Sahota teaches:

A method for providing a business engine using platform independent business rules, comprising:

providing a platform dependent business engine;

Art Unit: 2178

encoding a set of business rules in extensible style language translator ("XSLT") to obtain an XSLT business rule component comprising the platform independent business rules, the XSLT business rule component operative to perform logical manipulations based on the platform independent rules; and

coupling the XSLT business rule component with the platform dependent business engine to create the business engine using the platform independent business rules.

(See, Sahota, figures 2A-2B, and paragraphs [0024]-[0142], particularly [0054]-[0069], [0082]-[0084], and [0136]-[0142], and claims 41-50, teaching XSLT used within a "content converter" to map data into a variety of representations as a standard interface. See, particularly, Sahota, figure 2A and paragraphs [0066]-[0068].)

The reference discloses a single platform used to acquire content in one format and to transform the content in a format suitable for any number of destination devices. Furthermore, Syndication server uses content content engine to create synthesized content. Syndication server can target the synthesized content for various types of platforms. The reference fails to explicitly state the coupling XSLT business rule component with the platform dependent business engine to create the business engine using the platform independent business rules, however, the suggestion of a content engine with rules and included in the architecture of the system provides a suggestion of a coupling of components. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the well-known content transforming methods and the XSLT/content engine of Sahota, to modify the XSLT and content engine to provide a user with business-specific content because it would have provided business user with customized rich interactive services.

Art Unit: 2178

## Regarding dependent claim 2, Sahota teaches:

The method of claim 1, further comprising:

providing an updated XSLT business rule component comprising updated platform independent business rules; and

loading the updated XSLT business rule component into the platform dependent business engine to obtain an updated business engine using the updated platform independent business rules.

(See, Sahota, paragraph [0084], teaching the "syndication transformation manager" using XSLT and modification (updating) of the XSLT code.)

## Regarding dependent claim 3, Sahota teaches:

The method of claim 1, further comprising:

employing an extensible markup language ("XML") document type definition to facilitate coupling the XSLT business rule component with the platform dependent business engine.

(See, Sahota, paragraphs [0059] and [0136], teaching the use of XML document type definitions (DTS's) for coupling with the XSLT.)

### Regarding independent claim 6, Sahota teaches:

A method for providing a common business service ("CBS") unit used in conjunction with an application program, the CBS unit using platform independent business rules, comprising:

Art Unit: 2178

encoding a set of business rules in extensible style language translator ("XSLT") to obtain an XSLT business rule component comprising the platform independent business rules, the XSLT business rule component operative to perform logical manipulations based on the platform independent business rules;

providing a platform specific CBS unit; and

coupling the XSLT business rule component with the CBS unit to obtain the CBS unit using the platform independent business rules.

(It is noted that a common business service (CBS) is disclosed as the XSLT business rule component claimed. See, disclosure, page 3, lines 23-28.

See, Sahota, paragraphs [0054]-[0055], teaching the repository 205 to store "rules and logic" which may be accessed on demand, and which is therefore a common business service and is taught to be used with an XSLT business rule component.) The reference discloses a single platform used to acquire content in one format and to transform the content in a format suitable for any number of destination devices. Furthermore, Syndication server uses content content engine to create synthesized content. Syndication server can target the synthesized content for various types of platforms. The reference fails to explicitly state the coupling XSLT business rule component with the CBS unit to obtain the CBS unit using the platform independent business rules, however, the suggestion of a content engine with rules and included in the architecture of the system provides a suggestion of a coupling of components. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the well-known content transforming methods and the XSLT/content engine of Sahota, to modify the XSLT and content engine to

Art Unit: 2178

provide a user with business-specific content because it would have provided business user with customized rich interactive services.

### Regarding dependent claim 7, Sahota teaches:

The method of claim 6, further comprising:

providing an updated XSLT business rule component comprising updated platform independent business rules by updating the platform independent business rules using XSLT; and

coupling the CBS unit with the updated XSLT business rule component to obtain an updated CBS unit using the updated platform independent business rules.

(See, Sahota, figure 2A and paragraph [0084], teaching the "syndication transformation manager" using XSLT and modification (updating) of the XSLT code.)

## Regarding dependent claim 8, Sahota teaches:

The method of claim 6, wherein an extensible markup language ("XML") document type definition is used to couple the XSLT business rule component and the CBS unit.

(See, Sahota, paragraphs [0024]-[0142], particularly [0054]-[0069], [0082]-[0084], and [0136]-[0142], teaching the invention of claim 6 using and XML DTD.)

Art Unit: 2178

## Regarding independent claim 9, Sahota teaches:

A method for manipulating input data and providing output data, comprising:
encoding a set of business rules in extensible style language translator ("XSLT")
to obtain a set of XSLT business rules;

coupling the set of XSLT business rules with a platform dependent business engine to obtain an XSLT business engine; and

using the XSLT business engine to:

receive the input data from a source;

perform a logical manipulation of the input data based on the XSLT business rules; and

provide the output data to the source.

(See, Sahota, figure 2A-2B and paragraphs [0024]-[0142], particularly [0054]-[0069], [0082]-[0084], and [0136]-[0142], teaching the XSLT business rules, coupling the XSLT business rules to a platform dependent business engine to obtain an XSLT business engine and using the XSLT business engine to receive input, perform manipulations of the input data based on the business rules, and provide output data.) The reference discloses a single platform used to acquire content in one format and to transform the content in a format suitable for any number of destination devices. Furthermore, Syndication server uses content content engine to create synthesized content. Syndication server can target the synthesized content for various types of platforms. The reference fails to explicitly state XSLT business rules, however, the suggestion of a content engine with rules and included in the architecture of the system provides a suggestion of a coupling of components. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having

the well-known content transforming methods and the XSLT/content engine of Sahota, to modify the XSLT and content engine to provide a user with business-specific content because it would have provided business user with customized rich interactive services.

## Regarding dependent claim 10, Sahota teaches:

The method of claim 9, further comprising:

providing updated XSLT business rules by updating the set of XSLT business rules using XSLT; and

updating the XSLT business engine by coupling the updated XSLT business rules with the platform dependent business engine.

(See, Sahota, figure 2A and paragraph [0084], teaching the "syndication transformation manager" using XSLT and modification (updating) of the XSLT code.)

## Regarding dependent claim 11, Sahota teaches:

The method of claim 9, wherein a call to a remote database is made as a result of the logical manipulation.

(See, Sahota, paragraph [0136]-[0142], teaching access to remote databases.)

## Regarding dependent claim 14, Sahota teaches:

The method of claim 9, further comprising:

Art Unit: 2178

using an extensible markup language ("XML") document type definition to facilitate coupling of the set of XSLT business rules with the platform dependent business engine.

(See, Sahota, paragraphs [0024]-[0142], particularly [0054]-[0069], [0082]-[0084], and [0136]-[0142], teaching the invention of claim 9 using and XML DTD.)

## Regarding independent claim 15, Sahota teaches:

A method for performing a task requested by an application program comprising:
encoding a set of business rules in extensible style language translator ("XSLT")
to obtain platform independent business rules;

coupling the platform independent business rules with a platform dependent common business service ("CBS") unit to obtain an XSLT CBS unit; and using the XSLT CBS unit to:

receive input data from the application program;

perform a logical manipulation of the input data based on the platform independent business rules; and

provide output data based on the logical manipulation.

(See, Sahota, figure 2A-2B and paragraphs [0024]-[0142], particularly [0054]-[0069], [0082]-[0084], and [0136]-[0142], teaching the XSLT business rules, coupling the XSLT business rules to a platform dependent business engine to obtain an XSLT business engine and using the XSLT business engine to receive input, perform manipulations of the input data based on the business rules, and provide output data.) The reference discloses a single platform used to acquire content

in one format and to transform the content in a format suitable for any number of destination devices. Furthermore, Syndication server uses content content engine to create synthesized content. Syndication server can target the synthesized content for various types of platforms. The reference fails to explicitly state XSLT business rules, however, the suggestion of a content engine with rules and included in the architecture of the system provides a suggestion of a coupling of components. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the well-known content transforming methods and the XSLT/content engine of Sahota, to modify the XSLT and content engine to provide a user with business-specific content because it would have provided business user with customized rich interactive services.

### Regarding dependent claim 16, Sahota teaches:

The method of claim 15, further comprising:

providing updated platform independent business rules by updating the platform independent business rules using XSLT; and

coupling the CBS unit with the updated platform independent business rules to obtain an updated CBS unit.

(See, Sahota, figure 2A-2B and paragraphs [0024]-[0142], particularly [0054]-[0069], [0082]-[0084], and [0136]-[0142], teaching updating the XSLT business rules by updating the XSLT, coupling the XSLT business rules the CBS on the independent client.)

#### Regarding dependent claim 17, Sahota teaches:

The method of claim 15, further comprising:

Art Unit: 2178

using an extensible markup language ("XML") document type definition to facilitate coupling the platform independent business rules with the platform dependent CBS unit.

(See, Sahota, figure 2A-2B and paragraphs [0024]-[0142], particularly [0054]-[0069], [0082]-[0084], and [0136]-[0142], teaching using XML for coupling.)

## Regarding dependent claim 18, Sahota teaches:

The method of claim 15, wherein the output response is provided to the application program.

(See, Sahota, figure 2A-2B and paragraphs [0024]-[0142], particularly [0054]-[0069], [0082]-[0084], and [0136]-[0142].)

## Regarding dependent claim 19, Sahota teaches:

The method of claim 15, wherein the output response is a call to another software unit.

(See, Sahota, paragraph [0136]-[0142], teaching access to remote databases which would be a "software unit.")

## Regarding independent claim 20, Sahota teaches:

A computer-readable medium containing computer-executable instructions comprising:

a set of business rules encoded in extensible style language translator ("XSLT"), wherein the encoded set of business rules can be adaptively coupled with a platform dependent business engine using a document type definition to provide a platform dependent business engine having behavior based on the set of business rules encoded in XSLT.

Page 12

(Claim 20 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 1 and is rejected along the same rationale.)

4. It is noted that any citations to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. See, MPEP 2123.

## Claims Rejection – 35 U.S.C. 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sahota, et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication, 2001/0056460 A1, published December 27, 2001, claiming priority to Provisional Application 60/199,686, filed April 24, 2000) [hereinafter

11 Common : (amour : 10, 02),2

Art Unit: 2178

"Sahota"], as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Lipkin, et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication, 2002/0049788 A1, filed January 12, 2001, and claiming priority to Provisional Application 60/176,450, filed January 14, 2000) [hereinafter "Lipkin"].

## Regarding dependent claim 12, Sahota in view of Lipkin teaches:

The method of claim 9, wherein a call to another business engine is made as a result of the logical manipulation.

(Sahota teaches the invention claimed in claim 9, and teaches a call to another business engine. See, Sahota, figure 2A-2B and paragraphs [0024]-[0142], particularly [0054]-[0069], [0082]-[0084], and [0136]-[0142]. Sahota does not expressly teach that the call is made as a result of logical manipulation.

Lipkin teaches a separate "meta-data store" to hold information used to validate input data. See, Lipkin, paragraphs [0232]-[0243]. Lipkin teaches to store the data separately from the execution data of the program, and Sahota teaches to call for update information. Sahota and Lipkin are combinable in that they are in the same art of integrating disparate platforms and software applications.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the calling access function of Sahota with the separate storage access function of Lipkin.

The suggestion or motivation to combine the references is for the obvious advantage of accessing separately stored data, the difference between the authorities being merely limited to whether the data was stored on the same processor, Lipkin, or on a separate processor, Sahota.

Art Unit: 2178

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Sahota and Lipkin to result in the invention specified in claim 12.)

### Regarding dependent claim 13, Sahota in view of Lipkin teaches:

The method of claim 9, wherein when the logical manipulation comprises a validation of the input data.

(Sahota teaches the invention claimed in claim 9, and teaches a call to another business engine. See, Sahota, figure 2A-2B and paragraphs [0024]-[0142], particularly [0054]-[0069], [0082]-[0084], and [0136]-[0142]. Sahota does not expressly teach that the call is made as a result of logical manipulation.

Lipkin teaches a separate "meta-data store" to hold information used to validate input data. See, Lipkin, paragraphs [0232]-[0243]. Lipkin teaches to store the data separately from the execution data of the program, and Sahota teaches to call for update information. Sahota and Lipkin are combinable in that they are in the same art of integrating disparate platforms and software applications.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the calling access function of Sahota with the separate storage access function of Lipkin.

The suggestion or motivation to combine the references is for the obvious advantage of accessing separately stored data, the difference between the authorities being merely limited to whether the data was stored on the same processor, Lipkin, or on a separate processor, Sahota.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Sahota and Lipkin to result in the invention specified in claim 12.)

5. It is noted that any citations to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. See, MPEP 2123.

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 6-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

9. The following prior art is made of record and not relied upon that is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure:

Abrari et al., Pub. No. US 2002/0120917 A1 filed (11/26/01)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Ludwig whose telephone number is 571-272-4127. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ML

STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER